

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/477,570	01/06/2000	DANIEL J. KNABENBAUER	AUS990884US1	9429
75	90 04/22/2003			
DUKE W YEE CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON LLP PO BOX 802334 DALLAS, TX 75380			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KEVIN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	1/-
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				/				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/477,570	KNABENBAUR	KNABENBAURER, DANIEL J.				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Kevin M. Nguyen	2674					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by apply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, on. a reply within the statutory minim period will apply and will expire SD statute, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considered tim  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>27 March 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-fina	al.					
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice upon of Claims			the merits is				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 2,4-24,26 and 28-49 is/are pend	ling in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from considerat	ion.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) <u>2,4-24,26 and 28-49</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
· ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirem	ent.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exa							
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□		•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
_	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur							
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationalee the attached detailed Office action for a second control of the attached detailed of the action for a second control of the attached detailed of the attached detailed of the action for a second control of the attached detailed detaile	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).	al Stage				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	nestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	al application).				
	)  The translation of the foreign languag acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	•						
Attachment	r(s)	•						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) 5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper N lotice of Informal Patent Application (P ther:					

Application/Control Number: 09/477,570

Art Unit: 2674

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The amendment filed on 3/27/2003 is entered. The rejection of claims 2, 4-24, 26, 28-49 are maintained.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 2, 4-24, 26 and 28-49 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 14, 17, 19 and 28 of U. S. Patent No. 6,479,929 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a three dimensional display device comprising the electrical circuitry includes an addressable anode bus line and a cathode line, the phosphorus material emits light of one of a red, green, or blue color (see column 10, lines 14-25).

Application/Control Number: 09/477,570

Art Unit: 2674

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Munz (US 3,555,349) teaches a three-dimensional display device including a cathode ray tube having a plurality of space, parallel light and electron previous display planes (figure 1 and abstract), and Karras (US 4,134,104) teaches a three-dimensional display device including a plurality of transparent plastic sheets arranged in a spaced, parallel array (figure 1 and abstract).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Kevin M. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-6209**. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THU from 9:00-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard A Hjerpe** can be reached on **703-305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2674

> RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600